Present

G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references 1922-23 **File No.** 261-22

435-12 (Mar) **Serial Nos.** 1-2

126-07

42-03

286-20

Later references. 562-20

Imm 71-23-1-7 465-21

~~267~~

30

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for Jan. 23

SUBJECT

Foreclosure belonging to the Sir Asaph Mall.

Encl. No. 2024/mar 261-22-28 10.1.23 to Nawagaon mentioning Sri Raghatta stating that orders issued in regd of the resumption of Hommenhalli cannot be reconsidered

Printed on the Multigraph.

F. R. S. 11] 1922

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards

NOTES.

File No. 261/1922

FILE HEADING.

Review-application from Rama Gurumurthi of Siddaghatta, Kolar Dt.

From

Rama Gurumurthiah,

No. Petition, Dated Received 12.12.1922

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1297	—	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the order resumption of Hounonakatti village entered to Sri Rangi Mutt, may be cancelled, and possession thereof delivered to the petr.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is duly stamped. The G.O. sought to be reviewed was passed on 12th Octr. 1922, while the Review-application was received on 12th Decr. 1922. It is in time.

R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jmuz File No. 261 of 1927

Serial No.

Honnenahalli Village is an inam entered in Sri Anani inam. The facts connected with this village are noted in paras 41, 44 & 66 of the office note in Jmuz. D. 562-20 (post up) In G.O. No 1516-Y Dy 12-10-1922 now sought to be reviewed, Govt observed that the lease of this village to the petitioner for a period of 80 years by the present Inamdar, was clearly an alienation and the Dy. Comrs. order of resumption was confirmed.

The pet. prays that on a re-consideration of the foregoing order, the inam village in question may be restored to his possession. The grounds for review are summarized in the petition

Submitted for orders

Dy 16.12.1928.

The applicant urges that the lease of the village to him is unofficial & the matter and that if a further hearing is accorded he

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1297

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc. File No. 261 of 19 21

Serial No.

Awaiting Govt that this is the case & that the case is with one explanation.

granting the village for 8 years the amounts & alienation on the very strong representations are misconceived

Orders are solicited as to whether a hearing may be given & the date. The case has however been fully discussed once.

BG
14/12/22

Can be rejected
First Member
14/12/22

4993-485
16.12.22

Rejected

Pen.
23.12.22 23/12/22

DD 26/12/22

D.O. sent to Bombay
MW 3-1-23. BG 3/1/23

2 No. 261. Application and Raosaheb Mankikar, Kolhapur, Kolhapur &c.

Informs that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.	
	no fresh grounds in a re-consideration of the orders already passed in the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Review Petition No. of 1922-23.

Petitioner.- Rama Gurumurthaiya, son of Rama Subba Bhatta, residing at Sidlaghatta, Kolar Dist:

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1291 22-23

Under Section 218 of the Mysore LandRevenue Code.-

The petitioner above-named most humbly submits that the orders passed by this Hon'ble Government in Proceedings No.1516-7/Muz.562-20-15 dated 12th October 1922, confirming the order of the - Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, directing the resumption of the Inam Honnenahalli Village in the Kolar Taluk, may kindly be reviewed for the following among - other grounds, namely.-

1. That the order was passed without the petitioner or his advocate being heard on the - subject.

2. That the petitioner is prepared to fully satisfy that the lease in this particular case - would not amount to an alienation.

3. That the lease in this particular case is highly beneficial to the Mutt and has not been brought to the notice of Government.

4. That the only way by which the Mutt could enjoy the village has also not been brought to the notice of Government.

5. That it has not been brought to the notice of Government that petitioner has been pursuaded to take a lease of Honnenahalli on the strength of the recognition by the Government of the lease of Thathahalli, and that the petitioner has invested a very large amount on improvement of the village for the last 10 years after lease to him.

For these and other reasons the petitioner most humbly prays that the order of Resumption of Honnenahalli Village may kindly be ordered to be set aside and possession of the same be ordered to be delivered to the petitioner.

BANGALORE,
27th November 1922

Petitioner.



In the Court of the Before Her Gouvernment of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Misc. Petn. CASE NO OF 1922—1923.

Appellant Plaintiff		*Respondent Defendant*
Rama Gowda and others	Vs.	

I Petitioner above named do, by these presents, retain Mr. M. SRINIVASA RAO, B.A. LL B., Advocate, and M. Sm. R. Sundara Rao B.A.B.L. to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgement.

2 I further empower them to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds, and all sums of money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3 I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said advocates or by any other Advocate on their behalf in so doing.

BANGALORE,
27-11-1922.

Accepted.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.

Advocates for Petitioner

SRI VENKATESA PRESS, B-CITY.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to 129

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2024

Date of issue 10.1.23

Signed by Mr J.E.J Aitken

To

Endorsement granted to Rama Gunnuattiah of Siddlaghatta, with ref. to his renew-application regd no. 1297 dy 27-11-22 praying for a re-consideration of G.O. no. 1516-7/Muz 562-20-15 dy 12-10-1922 directing the resumption of Honnenahalli in the Kolar

over.

endorsed to Sri Avani
Mall.

The petr is informed that there are no fresh grounds for a re-consideration of the orders already passed in the matter. His Review application is accordingly rejected.

By order.

Sd/ Rev. Secretary.

3/1/23

Copy to the Inspr Genl in cntn of Fd. no. 2025 dt 10.1.23 1576-7/Insp. 562-20-5 dt 12 to 1922.

By order.

Revenue Secretary.

3/1/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27.7.22